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#### Abstract

Capacity of a highway can be defined as maximum number of vehicles that can pass a section of road per unit time under given traffic, roadway and control conditions. Capacity analysis gives a clear understanding that how much traffic a given road can accommodate. Thus capacity of a highway is a quantitative measure of a facility. The paper presents the results of a study conducted for estimation of the capacity of a multi-lane rural highway under heterogeneous traffic flow conditions. For this purpose, two sections of 30 meter stretch were identified as study sections on NH 1 (pipli-karnal road) in Kurukshetra District, Haryana, which is a six lane divided national highway. All the Data of speed flow studies were collected manually for this study. Only normal weekday traffic was recorded. Data collection being carried out for a period of 3 hours. Traffic data consisting of measurement of geometric parameters of road, Classified traffic volume, Speed distribution and Time Headway distribution of vehicles.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

According to the highway capacity manual (HCM,2010), capacity of a highway can be defined as the maximum hourly rate at which vehicles or persons can be reasonably expected to travel across a point or an uniform section of a roadway during a given time period under prevailing roadway, traffic and control conditions. It is expressed as vehicle per hour or vehicle per day and usually expressed as PCU/hour or PCU/day. The highway capacity depends on the certain factors as listed below;

Traffic Conditions: homogeneous traffic or heterogeneous traffic, proportions of turning movements at intersection, merging or diverging or weaving traffic etc.
Roadway characteristics: geometric parameters of road, number of lanes or lane configuration, lane width, shoulder width, horizontal or vertical alignment, lateral clearance, super elevation etc.

Control conditions: surface condition, signals at intersection etc.

Others: drivers or vehicular characteristics, environmental conditions, presence of pedestrians etc.

## 2. FIELD STUDY

The data for speed flow studies were collected at mid-block section of NH1 (pipli-karnal road) which is six lane divided (three lanes on either side) road in Kurukshetra District. The data were collected manually. The survey was carried out for a period of 3 hours between 09:45 am to 12:45 pm (Thursday, $29^{\text {th }}$ September, 2016). In addition to the traffic data, the physical data like carriageway width, shoulder width and median width were measured at the survey location. The carriageway width was 10.5 m with paved shoulder of 1.5 m width and the width of the median was 4.4 m . cross section of the road is shown in Fig. 1. The survey location shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3.


Fig. 1: Section of NH1


Fig. 2: Study Location


Fig. 3: Study being conducted
Table 1: PCU Values as per IRC: 64-1990

| Vehicle type | PCU |
| :--- | :--- |
| Car/jeep/van | 1 |
| Bus, truck | 3 |
| Tractor | 1.5 |
| Truck-trailer, tractor-trailer | 4.5 |
| 2-wheeler | 0.5 |
| 3-wheeler | 1 |
| cycle | 0.5 |

3. DATA ANALYSIS

Table 2: Composition of vehicles

| Vehicles | No. of Vehicles | \% vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car/jeep/van | 1511 | 60.39 |
| Truck | 459 | 18.35 |
| Bus | 127 | 5.08 |
| Truck-Trailer | 51 | 2.04 |
| Tractor | 1 | 0.04 |
| Tractor-Trailer | 7 | 0.28 |
| 2 wheeler | 333 | 13.31 |
| 3 wheeler | 12 | 0.48 |
| cycle | 1 | 0.04 |
| total | 2502 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Car/jeep/van } \\ & \text { Truck Trailor } \\ & 2 \text { wheeler } \end{aligned}$ | -Truck <br> - Tractor <br> ■ 3 wheeler | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ■ Bus } \\ & ■ \text { Tractor Trailor } \\ & \text { cycle } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c} 0.28 \\ 0.04 \\ \square \end{array}\right] \quad 0.48 \_0.04$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 18.35 |  | 60.39 |
|  |  |  |

Fig. 4: Composition of vehicles

Table 3: Composition of vehicles in PCUs

| Vehicles | \% PCU |
| :--- | :--- |
| Car/jeep/van | 40.69 |
| Truck | 37.08 |
| Bus | 10.26 |
| Truck Trailer | 6.18 |
| Tractor | 0.12 |
| Tractor Trailer | 0.85 |
| 2 wheeler | 4.48 |
| 3 wheeler | 0.32 |
| cycle | 0.01 |
| total | 100 |



Fig. 5: Composition of traffic in PCUs
Table 4/ Percentage of slow moving and fast Moving vehicles

| Type of vehicle | \%age |
| :--- | :--- |
| Slow moving vehicles (SMV) | 0.84 |
| Fast moving vehicles (FMV) | 99.16 |



Fig. 6: Fast and Slow vehicles

Table 5: Percentage of commercial and non-commercial vehicles

| Type of vehicle | \%age |
| :--- | :--- |
| Commercial | 25.78 |
| Non-commercial | $74 . .22$ |



Fig. 7: Proportion of Commercial vehicles
Table 6: Percentage of Vulnerable and Non-Vulnerable Road Vehicles

| Type of vehicle | \%age |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vulnerable Road Vehicles (Cycle and <br> 2-wheeler) | 13.35 |
| Non- Vulnerable Road Vehicles | 86.65 |



Fig. 8:Proportion of Vulnerable Road Vehicles
Table 7: Composite PCU value

| Total number of vehicles | 2502 |
| :--- | :--- |
| PCU value | 3713.5 |
| Composite PCU | 1.484213 |

Table 8: Maximum and average speed of vehicle Observed during survey

| Vehicle | Maximum speed (km/h) | Average speed (km/h) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Car/jeep/van | 128.57 | 74.25 |
| Bus | 90 | 66.92 |
| Truck | 85 | 50.13 |


| Truck-trailer | 75 | 47.99 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tractor | 52.17 | 43.46 |
| Tractor-trailer | 47.87 | 35.23 |
| 2-wheeler | 107.14 | 54.72 |
| 3-wheeler | 52.94 | 40.23 |
| cycle | 16.85 | 16.85 |



Fig. 9: Maximum \& Average Speed of Vehicle

Table 9: Variation of classified traffic count in 3 hours duration at NH1

| Vehicle | 1st hour | 2nd hour | 3rd hour |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car/jeep/van | 463 | 464 | 584 |
| Truck | 160 | 159 | 140 |
| Bus | 35 | 42 | 50 |
| Truck- trailer | 16 | 20 | 15 |
| Tractor | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| Tractor- trailer | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2-wheeler | 108 | 102 | 123 |
| 3-wheeler | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Cycle | 0 | 1 | 0 |



Fig. 10: Classified Traffic of 3 Hours
Table 10: Minimum Headway observed on NH1

| Time slot | Minimum <br> Headway for <br> Cars (second) | Time slot | Minimum <br> Headway for <br> Cars (second) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $09: 45-09: 50$ | 0.76 | $11: 15-11: 20$ | 1.23 |
| $09: 50-09: 55$ | 0.81 | $11: 20-11: 25$ | 1.06 |
| $09: 55-10: 00$ | 0.97 | $11: 25-11: 30$ | 1.15 |
| $10: 00-10: 05$ | 0.85 | $11: 30-11: 35$ | 1.22 |
| $10: 05-10: 10$ | 0.99 | $11: 35-11: 40$ | 1.96 |
| $10: 10-10: 15$ | 0.87 | $11: 40-11: 45$ | 1.38 |
| $10: 15-10: 20$ | 0.84 | $11: 45-11: 50$ | 0.31 |
| $10: 20-10: 25$ | 0.99 | $11: 50-11: 55$ | 0.78 |
| $10: 25-10: 30$ | 0.78 | $11: 55-12: 00$ | 1.17 |
| $10: 30-10: 35$ | 0.98 | $12: 00-12: 05$ | 0.95 |
| $10: 35-10: 40$ | 1.06 | $12: 05-12: 10$ | 1.06 |
| $10: 40-10: 45$ | 0.88 | $12: 10-12: 15$ | 1.41 |
| $10: 45-10: 50$ | 0.79 | $12: 15-12: 20$ | 1.33 |
| $10: 50-10: 55$ | 1.1 | $12: 20-12: 25$ | 0.97 |
| $10: 55-11: 00$ | 1.16 | $12: 25-12: 30$ | 1.07 |
| $11: 00-11: 05$ | 1.17 | $12: 30-12: 35$ | 1.06 |
| $11: 05-11: 10$ | 1.12 | $12: 35-12: 40$ | 0.81 |
| $11: 10-11: 15$ | 1.08 | $12: 40-12: 45$ | 0.95 |

## 4. CAPACITY ESTIMATION

## Headway method:

Average Minimum headway observed, $\mathrm{h}=1.01$
Second
Capacity=3600/h
=3600/1.01PCU/hour/direction
=72000 PCU/day
(Taking 10\% peak hour factor)

## Fundamental diagram method:



Fig. 11:Speed-Flow Diagram
Free flow speed, $V=89.11 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
$\mathrm{V} / 2=44.555 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
Flow corresponding to $\mathrm{v} / 2$ speed $=3456$
PCU/hour/direction
Capacity=70,000 PCU/day
(Taking 10\% peak hour factor)

## 5. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

It is seen from Table2 and Figure4 that the road section under study consists of about $60 \%$ cars followed by $18 \%$ trucks and $5 \%$ buses. In terms of PCUs the road section carried about $40 \%$ cars, $37 \%$ trucks and $10 \%$ buses (table3 and figure5). The slow moving vehicles at the section were less than $1 \%$ (table4). It is observed from table 5 and Fig. 7 that traffic on the road consist about $25 \%$ commercial vehicles. Vulnerable road vehicles on the road consisting of cyclist and 2-wheeler travellers are found to be $13 \%$ (figure8). Maximum speed of cars is found to be about $130 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and average speed of car on the road is $75 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (table8). Similarly, maximum speed for buses is found to be $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ whereas average speed is 65 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$. As far as hourly variation of traffic is concerned, it is found to be more or less uniform during period of the study as
observed from table 9. The minimum time headway (average) between passage of two consecutive cars in the studied section was found to be 1.01 seconds. Capacity estimation of the road has been made using headway method and speed-flow diagram method. The capacity value is found to be 72,000 PCUs by headway method and 70,000 PCUs by speed-flow diagram method. These capacity values when compared to the HCM method found to be much less than the capacity value for multi-lane roads given by HCM.

## 6. CONCLUSION

The paper presented the results of the study conducted on a 6lane section of NH1. Composition of vehicles including commercial vehicles, slow moving vehicles and vulnerable road vehicles is brought out at the field study. The maximum and average speed of vehicles also given. The capacity of 6lane section of the road determined by headway method and speed-flow diagram method is found to be 72,000 PCUs and 70,000 PCUs respectively which is much less as compared to the capacity of 6-lane road given by HCM method. According to the HCM the capacity of a multi-lane road should be 2200 PCU/hour/lane.
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